

28 July 1953

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT : Recommended Position on State Proposal to Revise  
PSB D-23

1. It is recommended that CIA support PSB D-23 as drafted, and oppose the State recommendation to excise Phase II of the Plan and certain phrases in the body of the Plan which led up to Phase II. It is essential to our entire program to have NSC approval of the principle that this Agency is authorized to plan and develop guerrilla and para-military forces for activation when conditions permit. If State remains firm in its objection to Phase II, it is recommended that the following sentence be added:

"Concurrently with the implementation of this strategy, plans will be developed for its expansion if and when conditions warrant this expansion."

2. The following comments on State's arguments are advanced:

[redacted] 25X1  
[redacted] If properly implemented, therefore, it would not result in the loss of U.S. prestige and influence. In this connection, it is recalled that all the earlier drafts of the paper advocated U.S. leadership exercised through powerful regional coordinators. CIA objected on the ground that U.S. leadership, while powerful and effective, must not be visible. In our opinion the latest draft makes note of this factor.

b. The last sentence of paragraph 2 of [redacted] 25X1  
comments contains a factual error. There will be no appreciable increase in the total number of [redacted] 25X1  
However, [redacted] more 25X1  
of the present total will be trained.


c. It is our belief that the Ambassador's statements in paragraph 4 reflect a misinterpretation of past developments, misinformation about current programs, and a lack of understanding of covert techniques. The following points are pertinent:

(1) At the time

89925

Copy #2

25X1



25X1 3. CIA supports the argument advanced by PSB, in paragraph 2 of [redacted] memorandum, that Phase II in PSB D-23 is not laid down as a final decision to act but indicated as a direction for contingency planning and preparation "for utilization of [redacted] as a base -- when and if conditions permit." It is noted that the State Department draft of 24 July 1953 still carries in Section II, Analysis of the Problem, all the arguments which make it apparent that Phase II must be developed. That section is based on the thesis that it is important that [redacted] be developed as the logical strategic base for counter-operations against the Communists. (See page 6.)

25X1



Chief  
Far East Division

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/03/05 : CIA-RDP80R01731R0003500240002-2

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP80R01751R000300240002-2

**SIGNATURE RECORD AND COVER SHEET**

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	REGISTRY
SOURCE 7E.	CIA CONTROL NO. 89925
DOC. NO.	DATE DOCUMENT RECEIVED
DOC. DATE	LOGGED BY
COPY NO. # 2 of 8	
NUMBER OF PAGES 2	
NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS -	

**ATTENTION:** This form will be attached to each Top Secret document received by the Central Intelligence Agency or classified Top Secret within the CIA and will remain attached to the document until such time as it is downgraded, destroyed, or transmitted outside of CIA. Access to Top Secret material is limited to those individuals whose official duties relate to the material. Each alternate or assistant Top Secret Control Officer who receives and/or releases the attached Top Secret material will sign this form and indicate period of custody in the left-hand columns provided. The name of each individual who has seen the Top Secret document and the date of handling should be indicated in the right-hand columns.

[illegible]

**NOTICE OF DETACHMENT:** When this form is detached from Top Secret material it shall be completed in the appropriate spaces below and transmitted to Central Top Secret Control for record.

THE TOP SECRET MATERIAL DETACHED FROM THIS FORM WAS:		BY (Signature)	
DOWNGRADED <input type="checkbox"/>			
DESTROYED <input type="checkbox"/>			
DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA) TO		OFFICE	DATE

16-63139-3 GPO

(40)